

1
2
3

4
5

Smart Meters and Smart Devices in Buildings: a Review of Recent Progress and Influence on Electricity Use and Peak Demand

6
7

Kristen S. Cetin¹ · Zheng O'Neill²

8
9

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

Abstract

Purpose of Review Electric grids face significant challenges with peak and variable demand and greenhouse gas emissions. As new technologies develop, they are used to modernize grids through improved monitoring and management of building electricity use. In this review, a range of technologies are discussed, including the state of their implementation and their current and future potential influence on building electricity contributions.

Recent Findings Recent literature has focused on the use of these devices individually for modeling building performance, influencing occupant behavioral energy efficiency, and model predictive control for more dynamically operated buildings.

Summary The results suggest that while smart meters are the most common device, other grid-connected technologies have the potential to further improve monitoring and management of the grid. However, there still remains significant gaps in the literature that require further study to take full advantage of the diversity of connected technologies to achieve a more energy-efficient built environment that can more dynamically consume electricity.

31
32

Keywords Smart grid · Smart meters · Residential buildings · Commercial buildings · Energy efficiency · Peak loads

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *End-Use Efficiency*

✉ Kristen S. Cetin
 kcetin@iastate.edu

¹ Department of Civil, Construction and Environmental Engineering, Iowa State University, 428 Town Engineering, Ames, IA 50011, USA

² Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA

Introduction

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66

The electric grids throughout the world currently face significant challenges. In recently years, the demand for electricity has steadily increased [1], requiring more power generation to meet these demands, also resulting in greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs). Buildings consume approximately 40% of total energy use [1, 2] and approximately 72% of electricity in the U.S as well as over half worldwide [1, 3]. Approximately, half of this consumption is from residential buildings and the other half from commercial buildings, thus buildings are a significant contributor to energy and electricity use. Electric grids also face challenges with increased variability in demand and peak loading, which cause transmission congestion, higher energy prices, and the need for the use of less-efficient and often higher greenhouse gas (GHG) emitting “peaker” power plants. Residential and commercial buildings have been found to be responsible for 50 and 25%, respectively, of the total peak demand [4].

In recent years, there have been significant investments in the electric grid and the technologies associated with this grid in effort to meet these challenges. Much of this investment has been in digital communication and data collection and management improvements to make the electric grid “smart”. These innovations and technology goals are, in part, to enable more intelligent use of electricity, such that this electricity is created and used more efficiently. The focus of this paper is thus specifically on the review of recent advances in “smart” devices in buildings which play an essential role in the modernization of the electric grid and promotion and influence on energy efficiency implementation. These “smart devices” include (a) smart meters, (b) smart thermostats, (c) smart appliances, and (d) the Internet of Things (IoT). These devices are the focus of this work because they are the most prevalent (a), are associated with significant energy-intensive end-uses in

67 buildings (b)(c), or generally have the potential to influence all
68 other end uses (d).

69 This paper begins with a review of the smart grid, followed
70 by a review of current progress of technology development of
71 smart devices in buildings. The discussion of each device
72 includes a review of the technology, rate of implementation,
73 and recent research findings using these devices and their data.
74 Finally, a discussion is included on current challenges and
75 future research needs.

76 **Background: the Smart Grid**

77 A number of different governmental agencies have defined
78 the term “smart grid” [5, 6]. As compared to a traditional
79 electric grid that connects electricity producers with electricity
80 consumers, the “smart grid” adds to this electric grid one- and
81 two-way digital communication in the form of sensors to gather
82 data, communication devices that transmit and receive this
83 data, and automated controls to enable adjustments to the grid
84 based on this data. Thus, the “smart grid” generally refers to
85 an energy network that can, through the use of various tech-
86 nology and computer-based controls and automation, monitor
87 the energy flow in an electric grid and adjust the energy supply
88 and demand accordingly. Ideally, it is more efficient and mod-
89 ernized than its predecessor by allowing users to manage elec-
90 tricity supply and demand in a way that is more cost-effective
91 and environmentally friendly. A number of different technol-
92 ogies enable the smart grid to be “smart”. As this work focuses
93 on the consumption side of the grid, only technologies asso-
94 ciated with electricity demand are discussed herein.

95 **Smart Meters**

96 Of the various different technologies that contribute to the
97 smart grid, perhaps the most ubiquitous is the smart meter.
98 There are two main types of smart meters: AMR (automatic
99 meter reading) and AMI (advanced metering infrastructure)
100 [7, 8]. AMR is an older one-way technology that collects
101 building electrical energy use information and transfers this
102 data to the electric utility company. AMI, however, is a more
103 recent and advanced two-way technology that also collects
104 energy use information from a building and transfers this to
105 the utility but does so on a more frequent basis. This includes
106 the recording of hourly or sub-hourly energy use data and its
107 transmission to the utility and/or customer at least daily [9].
108 AMI can also collect other information such as time-of-use
109 energy use, peak demand, voltage, and power factor, as well
110 as transmit information such as energy pricing and demand
111 response signals, enabling opportunities for more dynamic
112 operation of the electric grid. In addition, today’s smart meters

can help to identify and fix power outages and optimize unit
commitment.

113
114
115 Legislation has been a significant driver in the implemen-
116 tation of smart meters. A total of about 138 million advanced
117 meters (AMI) are in place, nearly 38% of all meters in the
118 USA [9]. This is due in part to the American Recovery and
119 Reinvestment Act of 2009 which helped fund many smart
120 meter projects. Smart meter penetration is also predicted to
121 increase in the USA moving forward. In Europe, initiatives
122 such as the European Energy Performance of Building
123 Directive (EPBD) [10] encourage smart metering. The Third
124 Energy Package [10] ensures implementation of smart
125 metering in member countries where the cost-benefit analysis
126 indicates a positive benefit, with the goal of an 80% market
127 penetration. Many other countries have similar goals [11–13].
128 Globally, as a result of recent legislation, it is estimated that
129 there will be 454 million smart meters by 2020. Asia will have
130 the most smart meters, followed by Europe, North America,
131 South America, and Africa. The cost of implementation will
132 be over \$100 billion; however, it is estimated that the benefits
133 will outweigh the costs. [14].

134 Smart meters can be implemented in any building consum-
135 ing electricity; however, they are most common in residential
136 buildings. Globally, residential applications account for 83%
137 of shipments [15]. In the USA, approximately 88% of smart
138 meters are installed in residential buildings, covering slightly
139 under 50% of residential buildings [16]. As of March 2015,
140 there were 271,000 residential smart meters installed in the US
141 Smart Grid Demonstration Program (SGDP), but only 21,000
142 and 2000 for commercial and industrial buildings, respective-
143 ly [17]. However, the demand for commercial applications is
144 expected to grow in future years [15].

145 Recent research on smart meters has focused on the use of
146 their data, through data analytics techniques, to (a) better un-
147 derstand the energy use of existing buildings and building
148 systems, (b) develop data-driven models for future energy
149 prediction, and (c) determine the peak load reduction potential
150 of building systems and appliances. Nearly all recent studies
151 discussing smart meter data have focused on residential build-
152 ings; however, there have been some limited studies in com-
153 mercial buildings or a combination of both residential and
154 commercial buildings [18, 19].

155 One of the most commonly studied uses for smart meters is
156 in the use of frequent interval energy use data for a better
157 understanding of energy use patterns of communities of build-
158 ings (e.g., Sevlian and Rajagopal 2014 [20]), whole buildings
159 (e.g., Gouveia and Seixas 2016 [21]), and individual building
160 systems (e.g., Cetin et al. 2014 [22]). This can be consumer-
161 oriented, which focuses on helping end-users to reduce elec-
162 tricity consumption [19, 23], or producer-oriented, which aims
163 to assist utilities with consumers’ daily habits for the purpose
164 of load forecasting and clustering [19, 24–26]. Most recent
165 studies use a combination of meter data and survey or energy

166 audit results to provide additional information about the build-
167 ings studied.

168 A variety of techniques have been used for analysis of
169 energy use data to explain use pattern recognition,
170 classification/segmentation of load profiles, and evaluation
171 of an explanation of variability of energy use. These tech-
172 niques include supervised and unsupervised learning, cluster
173 analysis [27–31], regression and change point models [23, 32,
174 33], energy efficiency frontiers [34, 35], probabilistic methods
175 [28], Markov models [36, 37], and frequency analysis [27].
176 These studies have used energy use data to determine housing
177 characteristics such as socio-economic status and dwelling
178 type [38] and energy efficiency classification [34, 35], disag-
179 gregation of energy use into end-use categories [21, 23, 33,
180 37, 39–41], and clustering of buildings into groups by use
181 pattern [30]. Energy use data is also being used to develop
182 data-driven models for future electricity use and electricity
183 demand predictions. These include short-term forecast
184 methods [42] and more generalized methods (e.g., [43, 44]).

185 The studies which have focused on algorithm development
186 for electricity use and demand prediction and for disaggrega-
187 tion can provide an improved understanding of energy use for
188 the end-users, who can utilize these insights to guide their
189 energy behaviors. As of 2012, over 156 studies have been
190 conducted on energy use feedback methodologies and effec-
191 tiveness [45], finding up to 10–20% savings in energy use.
192 However, there are some debates as to the robustness of some
193 of these studies [46]. The type of feedback provided to the
194 consumers correlates with achieved energy savings. “Indirect”
195 feedback, which provides energy consumption information to
196 the consumer after the energy is consumed, such as in a
197 monthly or daily statement with feedback on what can be done
198 to reduce energy loads, has achieved energy savings of 3.8–
199 8.4% [41]. “Direct” feedback, which provides real-time infor-
200 mation, has found an average 9.2% energy saving if using
201 whole-home energy data and the greatest savings if providing
202 submetered or disaggregated data [47]. This disaggregation
203 isolates individual appliances and high energy users, allowing
204 for the enhanced ability to provide personalized recommenda-
205 tions for energy reduction strategies [41].

206 **Smart Devices and Enabling Technologies: Smart**
207 **Thermostats, Smart Appliances, and IoT**

208 Two-way communication smart meters can also directly influ-
209 ence energy efficiency and peak demand when combined with
210 an enabling technology, such as a smart thermostat, IoT de-
211 vice, or smart appliance. Either through a smart meter or via
212 the internet, a building can receive and transmit a signal from
213 the utility, which can then be transmitted to one or more en-
214 abling devices that can adjust their settings to reduce load and

energy use. Several of these enabling technologies are 215
discussed in the following sections. 216

Smart Thermostats 217

Thermostats control the heating, ventilation, and air- 218
conditioning (HVAC) system in a building, which is often 219
the largest single electricity consumer. Approximately 83% 220
of residential buildings and nearly all of commercial buildings 221
have an A/C system and nearly all buildings have a heating 222
system in the USA (US EIA 2009). These are responsible for 223
nearly 50% of use in some climates; thus, it is logical to target 224
this high energy and load-demanding system using smart tech- 225
nology. And, while the relative contribution of HVAC energy 226
and electricity use and demand is lower in many countries, the 227
rate of adoption and use of HVAC systems are predicted to 228
increase significantly in future years [48]. 229

Smart thermostats can (a) achieve electricity demand re- 230
duction through connections and communications to the grid 231
and (b) energy savings by more optimally adjusting to occu- 232
pants’ preferences and schedules. For (a), a utility company or 233
third party can remotely control the thermostat, via WiFi or 234
radio signal, by cycling it or changing the setpoint temperature 235
when grid demand or energy price is high. For (b), some 236
thermostats are also “learning” thermostats which include em- 237
bedded learning algorithm software with the goal of improved 238
energy savings based on the occupants’ schedules, occupant 239
sensors, and/or preference settings over time. The most signif- 240
icant challenge in reducing electricity use and demand using 241
the HVAC is to ensure that if the building is occupied, the 242
occupants remain comfortable [49, 50]. 243

The rate of adoption of smart and connected thermostats is 244
significantly less than that of smart meters. Unlike many reg- 245
ulations mandating smart meters, smart thermostats have gen- 246
erally either been purchased by the building owner, or provid- 247
ed by the utility free of cost or for a reduced price in exchange 248
for agreeing to be a part of a demand response program. 249
However, the number of smart thermostats in use predicted 250
to grow moving forward [51]. 251

Recent literature has demonstrated the impacts of smart 252
thermostats on energy savings and peak load reduction in both 253
residential and commercial buildings, with peak load reduc- 254
tions of between 10 and 35% and energy savings of up to 17% 255
found. Davis et al. [52] reviewed the results of 32 pilot studies, 256
finding that smart thermostats (or other smart devices) in con- 257
junction with dynamic pricing reduced peak demand by up to 258
14% in residential buildings. Newsham and Bowker [53] 259
reviewed time-of-use pricing strategies trials, including those 260
combined with smart thermostats, finding that a peak load 261
reduction of 30% with this enabling technology is a reason- 262
able expectation, as compared to 5% reduction relying only on 263
occupant energy efficiency behavioral change. Newsham 264
et al. [54] found 10–35% peak load reduction of residential 265

266 buildings in Canada using an increase of 2 °C for 4 h. Yoon
 267 et al. [55•] found that controlling the HVAC using smart ther-
 268 mostats based on real-time energy price signals could reduce
 269 peak loads by 25% and reduce energy use by 4.3% annually.
 270 To achieve energy savings, much of recent literature has fo-
 271 cused on improved occupancy detection and prediction meth-
 272 odologies combined with smart thermostats, as discussed in
 273 Klemminger et al. [56].
 274 The energy benefits of smart thermostats in commercial
 275 buildings are not clear. A pilot study conducted by DTE
 276 Energy [57] revealed “marginal results” using 500 learning
 277 thermostats for small commercial buildings. Small and
 278 medium-sized commercial buildings will certainly see the
 279 benefits brought by the smart thermostats. These buildings
 280 often do not have the building management systems (BMSs)
 281 that facilitate the HVAC system control. The smart thermo-
 282 stats thus enable the HVAC equipment and system in these
 283 buildings to be more energy efficient, like a BMS. It is esti-
 284 mated that smart thermostats in non-residential setting are
 285 fewer than 50,000 units per year; however, the market is ex-
 286 pected to grow approximately to 20–50% [58].

287 **Smart Appliances**

288 Similar to HVAC systems, large household appliances con-
 289 tribute significantly (approximately 30%) to electricity use
 290 [59]; thus, efficiency of these appliances is important. These
 291 appliances are found in most (dishwashers, washers, dryers,
 292 microwave) and nearly all (refrigerator, water heater, stove/
 293 oven) residential buildings (US EIA 2009). Significant im-
 294 provements in energy efficiency have been made to these
 295 large appliances in recent years due to programs such as
 296 EnergyStar and mandatory government efficiency require-
 297 ments. Replacement of old, inefficient appliance has also been
 298 encouraged through utility-sponsored rebate programs. Smart
 299 appliances, like smart thermostats, are able to connect to the
 300 electric grid via internet or radio-smart meter. These appli-
 301 ances can turn off, delay start, or pause, based on signals from
 302 utility companies or third party providers. Commercially
 303 available smart appliances are have just recently become
 304 available; thus, adoption is limited currently. As appliances
 305 are high cost items for a building owner, likely adoption rate
 306 will be driven by replacement cycles in which future appli-
 307 ances are, by default, smart and grid-connected.

308 Recent smart appliance research has focused on both (a)
 309 developing methodologies for the scheduling of smart appli-
 310 ances for demand response events and based on electricity
 311 pricing schemes [60–62] and (b) evaluation of the peak load
 312 reduction and potential energy and cost savings that can be
 313 achieved through the use of smart, grid-connected appliances
 314 [63–69]. Those studies have shown approximately 20% ener-
 315 gy savings and 9–31% peak demand reduction.

Internet of Things

In addition to smart thermostats and appliances, the Internet of
 Things (IoT), while still in its infancy, is another set of devices that
 could have an impact on electricity use and demand. The IoT
 includes everyday objects that have network connectivity,
 allowing them to send and receive data through different commu-
 nication protocols such as those discussed in Ahmad et al. (2016)
 [70]. In the building sector, the most significant application of
 these devices has been in their use for “smart” homes, i.e., resi-
 dential buildings that have connected devices to monitor and con-
 trol a building’s performance and use. The number of companies
 and devices that have been commercialized in recent years has
 increased significantly. Recent market studies project 21%
 CAGR growth by 2020 [71], or a total of 38 billion devices
 [72]. For commercial buildings, a recent survey of 400 commer-
 cial and industrial building leaders found that IoT and building
 maintenance strategies are starting to converge [73]. Facility pro-
 fessionals are beginning to want to deploy advanced building
 technologies with IoT that can take advantage of the big datasets
 from buildings, with the goal of more self-aware, self-diagnosed,
 and self-calibrated buildings.

Some of these devices have applications in energy savings
 and improved thermal comfort, and others for use in applica-
 tions such as building security, automation, and convenience.
 Cetin and Kallus [74] reviewed some of the IoT devices that
 can be used for data collection to inform building energy per-
 formance analysis and modeling, categorized based on the
 data and information they can collect. As discussed in Hong
 et al. [75•], gathering this information is the next frontier in
 sustainable design. Improvements to IoT sensors, their accu-
 racy, and type of information collected had led to research
 progress in the monitoring of occupant movement and thermal
 comfort, as well as the monitoring, automation, and control of
 devices such as window shades, lighting, and electrical equip-
 ment. While various devices that accomplish similar goals
 have existed for some time, the advances in wireless commu-
 nication, small electronics, and data storage have enabled this
 field to develop quickly.

Conclusions and Future Research Needs

While significantly more research has been conducted in re-
 cent years using smart devices in buildings, many more op-
 portunities still remain to take advantage of the benefits of this
 relatively newly available data and connectivity.

- (1) First is to compare energy use patterns and energy and peak
 load reduction of proposed methods, models and devices
 across countries and climate zones. Studies of smart meter
 and other smart device data have been conducted in recent
 years generally in a specific region. However, there are no

364	recent studies that compare the findings of these different	energy use change over time, and the causes for these	417
365	researched areas together to determine if the models and	changes such as change in energy behaviors, potential	418
366	conclusions developed in one location are applicable to	faults or other energy inefficiencies that could be identi-	419
367	others. Also, as pointed out by Davis et al. [52], it is chal-	fied with this data. As this data has only become avail-	420
368	lenging to compare the results of recent studies to each other.	able in recent years for study, there is now multiple years	421
369	In some cases, there are biases that may affect the applica-	worth of data available for study and data analysis that	422
370	bility and usability of the results. Thus, a standard method-	makes this data more valuable.	423
371	ology may be merited.		
372	(2) Second, the frequency and quality of data from smart	(7) Seventh is the development of insights which take advan-	424
373	meters and other devices cited in recent research efforts	tage of the data from multiple smart devices. Combined,	425
374	vary significantly. The frequency ranges from sub-	multiple sets of data have the advantage of being able to	426
375	second level to monthly use. Most studies develop	check each others' assumptions and conclusions and build	427
376	models based on one frequency of data; however, there	off of each other to develop deeper energy insights.	428
377	is limited study of what frequency of data is really need-	(8) Finally, there is opportunity in collaboration in parallel	429
378	ed to develop meaningful insights, or a comparison of	but currently separately operating fields of researchers.	430
379	the level of information that can be obtained depending	The study of smart meters, their data, and their use for	431
380	on the level of frequency of data available. While most	various applications generally lies in electrical and com-	432
381	smart meters deployed today collect data on a 15-min-to-	puter engineering with experts in signal analysis; data	433
382	hourly frequency, it is possible that in future years this	processing and algorithm development; and the mechan-	434
383	data will be higher frequency. At higher frequencies,	ical, civil, and architectural engineering, with experts in	435
384	recent studies have raised security concerns over this	building science, building systems, and energy perfor-	436
385	data (e.g., Greveler et al. [76]), thus determining a bal-	mance. With each domain of researchers focusing on	437
386	ance of privacy and application is needed [76, 77].	similar areas, these two domains of researchers could	438
387	(3) Third is the quality of data. In some cases, there are errors	benefit from collaboration and discussions to merge the	439
388	and gaps in data [22] that must be addressed, particularly	knowledge bases together.	440
389	with large datasets or when datasets are merged together.		
390	How to better utilize and manage the big data generated	Compliance with Ethical Standards	441
391	from smart meters and other devices is a significant chal-		
392	lenge and could overwhelm the existing resources. Smart	Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of	442
393	meter data analytics includes data ingestion, pre-process-	interest.	443
394	ing, analyzing, and visualization [26]. Some initial re-		
395	search has been conducted to attempt to streamline smart	Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does	444
396	meter data analytics [26]; however, more is needed to	not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any	445
397	determine the frequency of data is ideal for the insights	of the authors.	446
398	desired and how to streamline this process.		447
399	(4) Fourth is the application of insights of smart meter and		
400	other smart device equipped buildings to those without	References	448Q2/Q3
401	smart meters with more limited data. While there are		
402	many buildings that do have smart meters, but many also	Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been	449
403	that do not, but could still benefit from insights from the	highlighted as:	450
404	limited data available. Some initial research has been	• Of importance	451
405	done in this area [78]; however, more is merited.		
406	(5) Fifth is the application of smart meter data analysis to	1. US Energy Information Agency. http://www.eia.gov/electricity/data.cfm (2016). Accessed 10 May 2016.	452
407	other building types. Most of the research has focused on		453
408	residential buildings. Commercial buildings are equally	2. United Nations Environmental Programme: Environment for	454
409	responsible for energy demands and could also benefit	Development. 2016. http://www.unep.org/sbci/AboutSBICI/Background.asp . Accessed 5 June 2016.	455
410	from parallel studies. Industrial facilities also play a part		456
411	in energy consumption and also merit study [78, 79].	3. International Energy Agency. Transition to sustainable buildings strat-	457
412	(6) Sixth is that with now a more substantial number of years	egies and opportunities to 2050 http://www.iea.org/Textbase/npsum/building2013SUM.pdf (2013). Accessed 10	458
413	of energy data available from smart meters and increas-	May 2016.	459
414	ingly large datasets from other smart devices, the re-		460
415	search community could also benefit from the study of	4. Wattles P. ERCOT demand response overview & status report,	461
416	longer periods of data to determine if use patterns of	AMIT-DSWG workshop AMI's next frontier: demand response. 2011. http://www.ercot.com/content/meetings/dswg/keydocs/2011/0830/3_ERCOT_presentation_workshop_083011.pdf . Accessed	462
		3 June 2016.	463
			464
			465

466 5. U.S. Department of Energy. Smart Grid. 2016. <http://energy.gov/oe/services/technology-development/smart-grid>. Accessed 7 Aug 2016.

467

468 6. European Commission on Energy. Smart grid and meters. <https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/markets-and-consumers/smart-grids-and-meters> (2016). Accessed 7 Aug 2016.

469

470

471

Q4 472 7. U.S. Energy Information Agency. Glossary. 2016. <http://www.eia.gov/tools/glossary/>. Accessed 7 August 2016.

473

474 8. Ahmad MW, Mourshed M, Mundow D, Sisinni M, Rezugui Y. Building energy metering and environmental monitoring—a state-of-the-art review and directions for future research. *Energ Building*. 2016;120:85–102. **A review of the state-of-the-art in energy metering and monitoring of buildings.**

475

476

477

478

479 9. U.S. Federal Energy Regulation Commission. Assessment of Demand Response and Advanced Metering Staff Report. 2015. <http://www.ferc.gov/legal/staff-reports/2015/demand-response.pdf>. Accessed 4 May 2016.

480

481

482

483 10. European Commission. Energy Efficiency Directive. 2014. http://ec.europa.eu/energy/efficiency/eed/eed_en.htm. Accessed 10 Jan 2016.

484

485

486 11. Global Smart Grid Federation. Global Smart Grid Federation Report. 2012. https://www.smartgrid.gov/files/Global_Smart_Grid_Federation_Report.pdf. Accessed 10 Jan 2016.

487

488

489 12. Northeast Group, LLC. Smart Grid Infrastructure Investment in South America: \$38.1bn by 2025. 2015. www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/smart-grid-infrastructure-investment-in-south-america-381bn-by-2025-300125709.html; Accessed 5 June 2016.

490

491

492

493 13. Yuan J, Shen J, Pan L, Zhao C, Kang J. Smart grids in China. *Renew Sust Energ Rev*. 2014 Sep 30;37:896–906.

494

495 14. Greenough, J. Governments and utilities are in a rush to install smart meters and realize savings. 2015. <http://www.businessinsider.com/the-smart-meter-report-forecasts-regional-breakdowns-costs-and-savings-for-a-top-iot-device-2015-4>. Accessed 5 June 2016.

496

497

498

499

500 15. Grand View Research. Smart meters market to grow at 9.8% CAGR from 2014 to 2020: Grand View Research, Inc. 2016. <https://globenewswire.com/news-release/2016/04/27/833309/0/en/Smart-Meters-Market-To-Grow-At-9-8-CAGR-From-2014-To-2020-Grand-View-Research-Inc.html>. Accessed May 5 2016.

501

502

503

504

505 16. Edison Foundation. Utility-scale smart meter deployments: building block of the evolving power grid. 2014. http://www.edisonfoundation.net/iei/Documents/IEI_SmartMeterUpdate_0914.pdf. Accessed 4 May 2016. **Provides a good review of the current state of smart meter deployment in the U.S.**

506

507

508

509

510 17. U.S. Department of Energy. Advanced metering infrastructure and customer systems. 2016. https://www.smartgrid.gov/recovery_act/deployment_status/sdgp_ami_systems.html. Accessed 3 June 2016.

511

512

513 18. Costa N, Matos I. Inferring daily routines from electricity meter data. *Energ Buildings*. 2016;110:294–301.

514

515 19. Räsänen T, Voukantsis D, Niska H, Karatzas K, Kolehmainen M. Data-based method for creating electricity use load profiles using large amount of customer-specific hourly measured electricity use data. *Appl Energ*. 2010;87(11):3538–45.

516

517

518

519 20. Sevlian R, Rajagopal R. A model for the effect of aggregation on short term load forecasting. In: IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting 2014 Jul 7. 2014.

520

521

522 21. Gouveia JP, Seixas J. Unraveling electricity consumption profiles in households through clusters: combining smart meters and door-to-door surveys. *Energ Building*. 2016;116:666–76.

523

524

525 22. Cetin KS, Tabares-Velasco PC, Novoselac A. Appliance daily energy use in new residential buildings: use profiles and variation in time-of-use. *Energ Building*. 2014;84:716–26.

526

527

528 23. Birt BJ, Newsham GR, Beausoleil-Morrison I, Armstrong MM, Saldanha N, Rowlands IH. Disaggregating categories of electrical energy end-use from whole-house hourly data. *Energ Building*. 2012;50:93–102.

529

530

531

24. Chicco G, Napoli R, Piglione F, Postolache P, Scutariu M, Toader C. Comparisons among clustering techniques for electricity customer classification. *IEEE Trans Power Syst*. 2006;21(2):933. 532

25. Espinoza M, Joye C, Belmans R, De Moor B. Short-term load forecasting, profile identification, and customer segmentation: a methodology based on periodic time series. *IEEE Trans Power Syst*. 2005;20(3):1622–30. 533

26. Liu X, Nielsen PS. Streamlining smart meter data analytics. In: 10th Conference on Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems. 2015. 534

27. Ozawa A, Furusato R, Yoshida Y. Determining the relationship between a household's lifestyle and its electricity consumption in Japan by analyzing measured electric load profiles. *Energ Building*. 2016;119:200–10. 535

28. Stephen B, Galloway SJ. Domestic load characterization through smart meter advance stratification. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*. 2012;3(3):1571–2. 536

29. Cao H, Beckel C, Staake T. Are domestic load profiles stable over time? An attempt to identify target households for demand side management campaigns. In: Industrial Electronics Society, IECON 2013-39th Annual Conference of the IEEE. 2013. p. 4733–4738. IEEE. 537

30. Kavousian A, Rajagopal R, Fischer M. Determinants of residential electricity consumption: using smart meter data to examine the effect of climate, building characteristics, appliance stock, and occupants' behavior. *Energ*. 2013;55:184–94. 538

31. Rhodes JD, Cole WJ, Upshaw CR, Edgar TF, Webber ME. Clustering analysis of residential electricity demand profiles. *Appl Energ*. 2014;135:461–71. 539

32. Kipping A, Trømborg E. Modeling and disaggregating hourly electricity consumption in Norwegian dwellings based on smart meter data. *Energ Building*. 2016;118:350–69. 540

33. Cetin KS, Siemann M, Sloop C. Disaggregation and future prediction of monthly residential building energy use data using localized weather data network. ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficient Buildings. Pacific Grove, CA. 2016. 541

34. Kavousian A, Rajagopal R. Data-driven benchmarking of building energy efficiency utilizing statistical frontier models. *J Comput Civ Eng*. 2013;28(1):79–88. 542

35. Kavousian A, Rajagopal R, Fischer M. Ranking appliance energy efficiency in households: utilizing smart meter data and energy efficiency frontiers to estimate and identify the determinants of appliance energy efficiency in residential buildings. *Energ Building*. 2015;99:220–30. 543

36. Albert A, Rajagopal R. Smart meter driven segmentation: what your consumption says about you. *IEEE Trans Power Syst*. 2013;28(4):4019–30. 544

37. Guo Z, Wang ZJ, Kashani A. Home appliance load modeling from aggregated smart meter data. *IEEE Trans Power Syst*. 2015;30(1):254–62. 545

38. Beckel C, Sadamori L, Staake T, Santini S. Revealing household characteristics from smart meter data. *Energ*. 2014;78:397–410. 546

39. Weiss M, Helfenstein A, Mattern F, Staake T. Leveraging smart meter data to recognize home appliances. In: 2012 I.E. International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications (PerCom). 2012. p. 190–197. IEEE. 547

40. Perez KX, Cole WJ, Rhodes JD, Ondeck A, Webber M, Baldea M, Edgar TF. Nonintrusive disaggregation of residential air-conditioning loads from sub-hourly smart meter data. *Energ Building*. 2014;81:316–25. 548

41. Armel KC, Gupta A, Shrimali G, Albert A. Is disaggregation the holy grail of energy efficiency? The case of electricity. *Energ Policy*. 2013;52:213–34. 549

42. Gajowniczek K, Ząbkowski T. Short term electricity forecasting using individual smart meter data. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2014;35:589–97. 550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

- 597 43. Arora S, Taylor JW. Forecasting electricity smart meter data using
598 conditional kernel density estimation. *Omega*. 2016;59:47–59. 661
- 599 44. Dyson ME, Borgeson SD, Tabone MD, Callaway DS. Using smart
600 meter data to estimate demand response potential, with application
601 to solar energy integration. *Energy Policy*. 2014;73:607–19. 662
- 602 45. Delmas MA, Fischlein M, Asensio OI. Information strategies and
603 energy conservation behavior: a meta-analysis of experimental
604 studies from 1975 to 2012. *Energy Policy*. 2013;61:729–39. 663
- 605 46. Buchanan K, Russo R, Anderson B. The question of energy reduction:
606 the problem (s) with feedback. *Energy Policy*. 2015;77:89–96. 664
- 607 47. Ehrhardt-Martinez K, Donnelly KA, Laitner S. Advanced metering
608 initiatives and residential feedback programs: a meta-review for
609 household electricity-saving opportunities. Washington, DC:
610 American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy. 2010. 665
- 611 48. Isaac M, Van Vuuren DP. Modeling global residential sector energy
612 demand for heating and air conditioning in the context of climate
613 change. *Energy Policy*. 2009;37(2):507–21. 666
- 614 49. Cetin KS, Manuel L, Novoselac A. Effect of technology-enabled
615 time-of-use energy pricing on thermal comfort and energy use in
616 mechanically-conditioned residential buildings in cooling dominated
617 climates. *Build Environ*. 2016;96:118–30. 667
- 618 50. Zhang F, de Dear R, Candido C. Thermal comfort during temperature
619 cycles induced by direct load control strategies of peak electricity
620 demand management. *Build Environ*. 2016;103:9–20. 668
- 621 51. IoT Analytics. Global smart thermostat market grew 123% In 2015,
622 Indicating Smart Home Is Finally Becoming Mainstream
623 [https://iot-analytics.com/global-smart-thermostat-market-2015-](https://iot-analytics.com/global-smart-thermostat-market-2015-2021/)
624 [2021/](https://iot-analytics.com/global-smart-thermostat-market-2015-2021/) (2016). Accessed 8 Aug 2016. 669
- 625 52. Davis AL, Krishnamurti T, Fischhoff B, de Bruin WB. Setting a
626 standard for electricity pilot studies. *Energy Policy*. 2013;62:401–9. 670
- 627 53. Newsham GR, Bowker BG. The effect of utility time-varying pricing
628 and load control strategies on residential summer peak electricity
629 use: a review. *Energy Policy*. 2010;38(7):3289–96. 671
- 630 54. Newsham GR, Birt BJ, Rowlands IH. A comparison of four
631 methods to evaluate the effect of a utility residential air-
632 conditioner load control program on peak electricity use. *Energy*
633 *Policy*. 2011;39(10):6376–89. 672
- 634 55. Yoon JH, Bladick R, Novoselac A. Demand response for residential
635 buildings based on dynamic price of electricity. *Energy Buildings*.
636 2014;80:531–41. **Laboratory and modeling study to determine**
637 **the impact of real-time pricing on energy use and peak loads in**
638 **residential buildings.** 673
- 639 56. Kleiminger W, Mattern F, Santini S. Predicting household occupan-
640 cy for smart heating control: a comparative performance analysis of
641 state-of-the-art approaches. *Energy Buildings*. 2014;85:493–505. 674
- 642 57. DNVGL. Nest learning thermostats: a good fit for commercial
643 buildings? 2014. [http://blogs.dnvgl.com/energy/nest-learning-](http://blogs.dnvgl.com/energy/nest-learning-thermostats-a-good-fit-for-commercial-buildings)
644 [thermostats-a-good-fit-for-commercial-buildings](http://blogs.dnvgl.com/energy/nest-learning-thermostats-a-good-fit-for-commercial-buildings). Accessed 3
645 July 2016. 675
- 646 58. Rovito M, Subramony G, Duffy L, Savio P. Advanced thermostats
647 for small- to medium-sized commercial buildings. 2014 ACEEE
648 Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. 2014. 676
- 649 59. US Energy Information Agency. Residential energy consumption
650 survey. 2009. <http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/>.
651 Accessed 1 May 2016. 677
- 652 60. Adika CO, Wang L. Smart charging and appliance scheduling ap-
653 proaches to demand side management. *Int J Electr Power Energy*
654 *Syst*. 2014;57:232–40. 678
- 655 61. Angeli D, Kountouriotis PA. A stochastic approach to “dynamic-
656 demand” refrigerator control. *IEEE Trans Control Syst Technol*.
657 2012;20(3):581–92. 679
- 658 62. Basu K, Hawarah L, Arghira N, Joumaa H, Ploix S. A prediction
659 system for home appliance usage. *Energy Buildings*. 2013;67:668–
660 79. 680
63. Shirazi E, Jadid S. Optimal residential appliance scheduling under
661 dynamic pricing scheme via HEMDAS. *Energy Buildings*. 2015;93:
662 40–9. 663
64. Mauser I, Schmeck H, Schaumann U. Optimization of hybrid ap-
664 pliances in future households. In: *International ETG Congress 2015;*
665 *Die Energiewende-Blueprints for the new energy age; Proceedings*
666 *of 2015*. p. 1–6. VDE. 667
65. Vanthourmout K, Dupont B, Foubert W, Stuckens C, Claessens S.
668 An automated residential demand response pilot experiment, based
669 on day-ahead dynamic pricing. *Appl Energ*. 2015;155:195–203. 670
66. Setlhaolo D, Xia X, Zhang J. Optimal scheduling of household
671 appliances for demand response. *Electr Pow Syst Res*. 2014;116:
672 24–8. 673
67. D’hulst R, Labeeuw W, Beusen B, Claessens S, Deconinck G,
674 Vanthourmout K. Demand response flexibility and flexibility poten-
675 tial of residential smart appliances: experiences from large pilot test
676 in Belgium. *Appl Energ*. 2015;155:79–90. 677
68. Mitchell S, Rauss D, Coburn B. Testing the demand response ca-
678 pabilities of residential refrigerators. *ASHRAE Trans*. 2013;119:J1.
679 680
69. Cetin KS. Characterizing large residential appliance peak load re-
681 duction potential utilizing a probabilistic approach. *Sci Tech Build*
682 *Environ*. 2016;1-3 682
70. Ahmad MW, Mourshed M, Mundow D, Sisinni M, Rezgui Y.
683 Building energy metering and environmental monitoring—a state-
684 of-the-art review and directions for future research. *Energy*
685 *Buildings*. 2016;120:85–102. 686
71. ABI Research. Smart home automation system revenues to hit US
687 \$34Billion in 2020. 2015. [https://www.abiresearch.](https://www.abiresearch.com/press/smart-home-automation-system-revenues-to-hit-us34/)
688 [com/press/smart-home-automation-system-revenues-to-hit-us34/](https://www.abiresearch.com/press/smart-home-automation-system-revenues-to-hit-us34/).
689 Accessed 10 June 2016. 690
72. Juniper Research. Internet of things: consumer, industrial and public
691 services: 2015–2020. 2015. [http://www.juniperresearch.](http://www.juniperresearch.com/researchstore/key-vertical-markets/internet-of-things/consumer-industrial-public-services)
692 [com/researchstore/key-vertical-markets/internet-of-](http://www.juniperresearch.com/researchstore/key-vertical-markets/internet-of-things/consumer-industrial-public-services)
693 [things/consumer-industrial-public-services](http://www.juniperresearch.com/researchstore/key-vertical-markets/internet-of-things/consumer-industrial-public-services). Accessed 10
694 June 2016. 695
73. Schneider Electric. Schneider electric facilities management survey.
696 2016. [http://www.slideshare.net/SchneiderElectric/schneider-](http://www.slideshare.net/SchneiderElectric/schneider-electric-facilities-management-survey)
697 [electric-facilities-management-survey](http://www.slideshare.net/SchneiderElectric/schneider-electric-facilities-management-survey). Accessed 10 June 2016. 698
74. Cetin KS, Kallus C. Data-driven methodology for energy and peak
699 load reduction of residential HVAC systems. *Procedia Engineering*.
700 2016;145:852–9. 701
75. Hong T, Taylor-Lange SC, D’Oca S, Yan D, Cognati SP. Advances
702 in research and applications of energy-related occupant behavior in
703 buildings. *Energy Buildings*. 2016;116:694–702. **Strong review of**
704 **occupant behavior related studies in buildings.** 705
76. Greveler U, Glösekötter P, Justusy B, Loehr D. Multimedia content
706 identification through smart meter power usage profiles. In:
707 *Proceedings of the International Conference on Information and*
708 *Knowledge Engineering (IKE)*. 2012. p. 1. The Steering
709 Committee of The World Congress in Computer Science,
710 Computer Engineering and Applied Computing. 711
77. McKenna E, Richardson I, Thomson M. Smart meter data:
712 balancing consumer privacy concerns with legitimate applications.
713 *Energy Policy*. 2012;41:807–14. 714
78. Carpenter J, O’Neill ZD, Woodbury KA. Gaussian process baseline
715 regression models in industrial facilities. 2016 ASHRAE Annual
716 Meeting. St. Louis, MO. 2016. 717
79. Carpenter J, Woodbury KA, O’Neill ZD. A Comparison of
718 Gaussian Process regression and Chang-point regression for the
719 baseline model in industrial facilities. ASHRAE and IBPSA-USA
720 SimBuild 2016. Building Performance Modeling Conference. Salt
721 Lake City, UT. 2016. 722
80. Ghofrani M, Hassanzadeh M, Etezadi-Amoli M, Fadali MS. Smart
723 meter based short-term load forecasting for residential customers.
724 In: *North American Power Symposium (NAPS)*. 2011. p. 1–5.
725 IEEE. 726